



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,729	07/13/2006	Neil Cashman	02833.0001U3	7149
10/59 7550 09/09/2009 BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l. 40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA				
EXAMINER WANG, CHANG YU				
ART UNIT 1649		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 09/09/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/568,729

**Applicant(s)**

CASHMAN ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHANG-YU WANG

**Art Unit**

1649

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHANG-YU WANG.(3) Noel Courage.(2) Christine Saoud.(4) Scott Duncan.

Date of Interview: Sep 2, 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US7041807, US2002/0123072, US6677125.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Discussed the issues listed in the attached agenda proposed by the Applicant including the rejections under 112-1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, lack of scope of enablement & lack of written description and the rejections under 102 (b) & (e) (see the attached agenda). 2. Discussed possible claim amendments to limit the scope of or further define scope of candidate polypeptide, blocking agent, modifying and detection agent recited in independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C.Y. W./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1649

/Christine J. Saoud/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647